



TITLE:

Nevanlinna theoretical approach to a small divisor problem in complex dynamics (Diophantine phenomena in differential equations and dynamical systems)

AUTHOR(S):

Okuyama, Yusuke

CITATION:

Okuyama, Yusuke. Nevanlinna theoretical approach to a small divisor problem in complex dynamics (Diophantine phenomena in differential equations and dynamical systems). 数理解析研究所講究録 2004, 1377: 65-75

ISSUE DATE:

2004-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/25637>

RIGHT:

Nevanlinna theoretical approach to a small divisor problem in complex dynamics

Yûsuke Okuyama

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192 Japan

email; okuyama@kenroku.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

奥山裕介

金沢大学理学部数学科

1 Local theory in complex dynamics

A rational map f is a holomorphic endomorphism of the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. The *Fatou set* $F(f)$ is the region of normality of $\{f^k := f^{\circ k}\}$, and the *Julia set* $J(f)$ its complement. Both of them are f -completely invariant, and the dynamics of f over them are tame and chaotic respectively.




Figure 1: Newton method for a cubic polynomial

In general, the following problem is quite difficult:

Problem 1. Determine which $F(f)$ or $J(f)$ a given point of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ belongs to.

We focus on a *periodic* point, so study a *local normal form* of analytic germs around a *fixed point*.

Problem 2. Determine the moduli of analytic conjugacy classes of analytic germs

$$M(\lambda) := \{f(z) = \lambda z + O(z^2)\} / (\text{analytic conjugacy fixing } 0).$$

λ is called the *multiplier* of f at the origin.

2 irrationally indifferent fixed points

In some cases, the moduli is determined and its structure is easy: In the *superattractive* case $\lambda = 0$ (Böttcher),

$$M(0) \cong \mathbb{N} \cong \{\text{the number of sheets of } f \text{ around } 0\}.$$

In the *attractive* case $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ and *repelling* one $|\lambda| > 1$ (Koenigs), $M(\lambda)$ is trivial, i.e., $f(z)$ is always analytically conjugate to λz .




Figure 2: attractive basin

In another case, the moduli is determined but its structure is complicate: In the *parabolic* case that λ is a root of the unity (Ecalte [4] and Volonin [15]),

$$M(\lambda) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \{\text{an } \infty\text{-dimensional space}\}.$$




Figure 3: parabolic basin

In the other case, the moduli itself has not been determined yet: In the *irrationally indifferent* case $\lambda = e^{2i\pi\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$), a small divisor problem occurs.

In the rest of this paper, we study only such λ .

Problem 3. Solve the functional equation (Schröder equation)

$$\phi \circ f(z) = \lambda \cdot \phi(z)$$

in the formal power series sense. Here

$$\phi(z) = z + \sum_{j>1} \phi_j z^j \quad (\text{unknown formal power series}),$$

$$f(z) = \lambda z + \sum_{j>1} f_j z^j \quad (\text{power series expansion}).$$

It can be solved as $\phi_2 = f_2/(\lambda - \lambda^2)$, $\phi_3 = (f_3 + 2\lambda f_2 \phi_2)/(\lambda - \lambda^3)$, ..., inductively. We notice that

$$\phi_j = \frac{(\text{a polynomial of } f_2, f_3, \dots, f_j, \phi_2, \phi_3, \dots, \phi_{j-1})}{\lambda - \lambda^j}.$$

Problem 4. Determine when the formal power series solution ϕ converges.

It involves a *difficulty of small divisors*:

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda - \lambda^j| = 0. \quad (1)$$

Problem 5. For every $\lambda = e^{2i\pi\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$), find a non-linear f such that ϕ converges.

It is not difficult. However, the following is not easy, and as we shall see in the next section, it is studied in detail by Cremer around 1930.

Problem 6. For every $\lambda = e^{2i\pi\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$), does there exist a non-linear f such that ϕ diverges? If exists, how is it?

We shall study mainly this Problem 6.

Definition 2.1. f is (analytically) *linearizable* if ϕ converges.

3 Diophantine conditions related to complex analysis

In studying Problem 6, we encounter several Diophantine(-type) conditions.

Theorem 3.1 (Cremer [3] 1931). Assume that $f \in M(\lambda)$ is a rational map of degree $d \geq 2$. If λ satisfies

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda - \lambda^j|^{(1/d)^j} = 0,$$

(compare (1)) or equivalently,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^k} \log \frac{1}{|\lambda^k - 1|} = \infty, \quad (\text{Cr})$$

then f is not linearizable.

Theorem 3.2 (Siegel [13] 1942). If λ satisfies

$$|\lambda^q - 1| > \frac{C}{q^\kappa} \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (\text{S})$$

for some $C > 0$ and some order $\kappa \geq 1$, then $f \in M(\lambda)$ is always linearizable.

Cremer's argument was algebraic: he estimated the absolute values of roots of $f^j(z) - z$ from *above* by $|\lambda - \lambda^j|^{(1/d)^j}$. Such an estimate gives an *upper* estimate of the radius of convergence of ϕ . Consequently, Cremer obtained his non-linearizability result under the condition (Cr).

Contrastively, Siegel's argument was analytic: he constructed an absolutely convergent power series dominating ϕ under the condition (S). Its radius of convergence gives a *lower* estimate of that of ϕ . Consequently, Siegel obtained his linearizability result.

Remark 3.1. Every algebraic irrational number of order κ satisfies (S) of order κ .

Now we try rewriting (Cr) and (S) in a *Diophantine approximation formalism*, which is appropriate to a *geometric* study of our problem.

Let $\{p_n/q_n\}$ denote the convergent derived from the continued fraction expansion of α . Then the former is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{d^{q_n}} = \infty,$$

and the latter

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{\log q_n} = 0.$$

Later, Brjuno ([1] 1971) improved (S) to

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{q_n} < \infty. \quad (\text{B})$$

However (B) cannot be improved anymore.

Theorem 3.3 (Yoccoz [16] 1996). *If λ does not satisfy (B), some $f \in M(\lambda)$ is not linearizable.*

On the other hand, by Yoccoz and Ilyashenko, it is known that when the *quadratic* polynomial $P_2(z) = \lambda z + z^2$ is linearizable, then any element of $M(\lambda)$ is linearizable. Hence,

Theorem 3.4 (Yoccoz [16] 1996, Cheritat 2001). *If λ does not satisfy (B), then P_2 is not linearizable.*

4 a new argument – the Nevanlinna theory

Problem 7. Fill the gap between (Cr) and (B).

We introduce the *Nevanlinna* theory to our problem. Assume that $f \in M(\lambda)$ is a rational map of degree $d \geq 2$.

The spherical area measure and the chordal distance on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ are

$$\sigma(w) = \frac{|dw|}{\pi(1 + |w|^2)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad [z, w] = \frac{|z - w|}{\sqrt{1 + |z|^2} \sqrt{1 + |w|^2}}$$

respectively. We note that they are normalized as $\sigma(\hat{\mathbb{C}}) = 1$ and $[0, \infty] = 1$.

The *Valiron exceptionality* of $\text{Id}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}}$ for $\{f^k\}$ is defined by

$$\text{VE}(\text{Id}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}}; \{f^k\}) := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}} \log \frac{1}{|f^k(w), w|} d\sigma(w)}{d^k}.$$

Then we can characterize the left hand side of (Cr) in terms of the Nevanlinna theory.

Main Theorem 1 (natural equality). *If f is linearizable, then*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^k} \log \frac{1}{|\lambda^k - 1|} = \text{VE}(\text{Id}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}}; \{f^k\}). \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, the linearizability of f means the existence of the *Siegel disk*, where f acts as an irrational rotation, hence the tame dynamics of f actually exists.




Figure 4: Siegel disk

Main Theorem 2 (Vanishing theorem). When $F(f) \neq \emptyset$,

$$\text{VE}(\text{Id}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}}; \{f^k\}) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Combining them, we succeed in improving (Cr) greatly.

Main Theorem 3 ([12] 2002). If

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^k} \log \frac{1}{|\lambda^k - 1|} > 0 \quad (\heartsuit)$$

then f is not linearizable.

5 dynamical and Nevanlinna exceptional sets

In this section, we shall survey our article [11], of which the results have been already implicitly applied to the proof of Main Theorem 3.

Notation. Rat denotes the set of all rational endomorphism of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is identified as the set of all constant functions of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

From definition, the dynamical system *around* $J(f)$ has an *almost covering* feature: There exists $E(f) \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that for every neighborhood U of a point of $J(f)$, the union of the forward-images of U under iterations covers $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - E(f)$.

Definition 5.1 (dynamical exceptional set). $E(f)$ is called the *dynamical exceptional set* of f .

From this almost covering feature, naturally arises the Nevanlinna theoretical study, which treats *preimages* under iterations.

Definition 5.2 (value distribution). For $f, g \in \text{Rat}$, the *value distribution* $\mu(f, g)$ of f for g is defined by the mass distribution on the $(\deg f + \deg g)$ -roots of the equation $f = g$.

Definition 5.3 (dynamical Nevanlinna theory [14]). For $f, g \in \text{Rat}$, the *point-wise proximity function* is defined by

$$(w(g, f))(z) := \log \frac{1}{[g(z), f(z)]} : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow [0, \infty],$$

and the *mean proximity* by

$$m(g, f) := \int_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}} w(g, f) d\sigma \in [0, \infty).$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a *rational sequence* $\{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \subset \text{Rat}$ with increasing degrees $\{d_k := \deg f_k\}$. For $g \in \text{Rat}$, the dynamical *Nevanlinna* and *Valiron exceptionalities* are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NE}(g; \mathcal{F}) &:= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(g, f_k)}{d_k} \in [0, \infty], \\ \text{VE}(g; \mathcal{F}) &:= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(g, f_k)}{d_k} \in [0, \infty] \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

From now on, we consider the *iteration sequence* $\{f^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of a rational map f of degree $d \geq 2$.

Definition 5.4 (dynamical Nevanlinna and Valiron exceptional sets). The dynamical *Nevanlinna* and *Valiron exceptional sets* of f in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_N(f) &:= \{p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; \text{NE}(p; \{f^k\}) > 0\}, \\ E_V(f) &:= \{p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; \text{VE}(p; \{f^k\}) > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

It is known that $\{(f^k)^* \sigma / d^k\}$ converges weakly. The limit is also known as the unique maximal entropy measure (see [9] and [10]).

Definition 5.5 (the maximal entropy measure).

$$\mu_f := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(f^k)^* \sigma}{d^k}.$$

Definition 5.6 (accumulation and convergence loci). The *accumulation* and *convergence loci* of the averaged value distributions of f in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A(f) &:= \{p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; \text{a subsequence of } \{\mu(f^k, p)/d^k\} \text{ converges to } \mu_f\}, \\ \text{Conv}(f) &:= \{p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}; \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(f^k, p)}{d^k} = \mu_f\} \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Now we state Main Theorem.

Main Theorem 4 (characterizations of exceptional sets). For $f \in \text{Rat}$ of degree ≥ 2 ,

$$\hat{\mathbb{C}} - E_V(f) = \text{Conv}(f) \subset A(f) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} - E_N(f) \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}} - E(f).$$

Independently, known is the following remarkable theorem which was first proved for polynomials by Brolin [2] and later for rational maps by Lyubich [9] and independently by Freire-Lopes-Mañé [7]. See also [5], [8], [6] for the other proofs.

Theorem 5.1 (convergence of averaged value distributions). *For $f \in \text{Rat}$ of degree ≥ 2 ,*

$$\hat{\mathbb{C}} - E(f) = \text{Conv}(f).$$

Combining them, we have the following.

Corollary (All exceptional sets are same.). *For $f \in \text{Rat}$ of degree ≥ 2 ,*

$$E_N(f) = E_V(f) = E(f) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} - \text{Conv}(f) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} - A(f).$$

Remark 5.1. In [12], Main Corollary has been already implicitly applied to the Siegel-Cremer linearizability problem of rational maps.

The important consequence of Main Corollary is a convergence theorem of the *potentials* of the averaged value distributions.

Definition 5.7 (spherical potential). For a regular measure μ on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, the *potential* is defined by

$$V_\mu := \int_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}} -\log[\cdot, w] \mu(w) : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow [0, \infty].$$

Remark 5.2. In the potential theory, the potential is usually defined as $-V_\mu$, but the definition will be more convenient in our study.

The (axiomatic) potential theory implies that when regular measures μ_k converges to μ , then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_{\mu_k} = V_\mu$$

*quasi*everywhere on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. For the averaged value distributions, we obtain the stronger conclusion.

Main Theorem 5 (convergence theorem of potentials). *Let $f \in \text{Rat}$ be of degree $d \geq 2$. If $p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} - E(f)$ is not a fixed point, then*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_{\mu(f^k, p)/d^k} = V_{\mu_f} \quad (4)$$

on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Otherwise (4) holds on $\hat{\mathbb{C}} - \bigcup_{k \geq 0} f^{-k}(p)$.

We also characterize such points that the potentials actually *converge* there.

Main Theorem 6 (convergence of potentials and pointwise behavior). Let $f \in \text{Rat}$ be of degree $d \geq 2$. For $p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} - E(f)$ and $q \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} V_{\mu(f^k, p)/d^k}(q) = V_{\mu_f}(q) \quad (5)$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^k} \log \frac{1}{[p, f^k(q)]} = 0. \quad (6)$$

References

- [1] BRJUNO, A. D. Analytical form of differential equations, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, **25** (1971), 199–239.
- [2] BROLIN, H. Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions, *Ark. Mat.*, **6** (1965), 103–144 (1965).
- [3] CREMER, H. Über die Schrödersche Funktionalgleichung und das Schwarzsche Eckenabbildungsproblem, *Math.-phys. Klasse*, **84** (1932), 291–324.
- [4] ÉCALLE, J. *Les fonctions résurgentes. Tome II*, Vol. 6 of *Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay 81 [Mathematical Publications of Orsay 81]*, Université de Paris-Sud Département de Mathématique, Orsay (1981), Les fonctions résurgentes appliquées à l'itération. [Resurgent functions applied to iteration].
- [5] ERĖMENKO, A. E. and SODIN, M. L. Iterations of rational functions and the distribution of the values of Poincaré functions, *Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, **53** (1990), 18–25.
- [6] FORNAESS, J. E. and SIBONY, N. Complex dynamics in higher dimension. II, Modern methods in complex analysis (Princeton, NJ, 1992), Vol. 137 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1995), 135–182.
- [7] FREIRE, A., LOPES, A. and MAÑÉ, R. An invariant measure for rational maps, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, **14**, 1 (1983), 45–62.
- [8] HUBBARD, J. H. and PAPADOPOL, P. Superattractive fixed points in \mathbb{C}^n , *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **43**, 1 (1994), 321–365.
- [9] LJUBICH, M. J. Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **3**, 3 (1983), 351–385.

- [10] MAÑÉ, R. On the uniqueness of the maximizing measure for rational maps, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, **14**, 1 (1983), 27–43.
- [11] OKUYAMA, Y. Complex dynamics, value distributions, and the potential theory (preprint).
- [12] OKUYAMA, Y. Nevanlinna, Siegel, and Cremer, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* (to appear).
- [13] SIEGEL, C. L. Iterations of analytic functions, *Ann. of Math.*, **43** (1942), 807–812.
- [14] SODIN, M. Value distribution of sequences of rational functions, Entire and subharmonic functions, Vol. 11 of *Adv. Soviet Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1992), 7–20.
- [15] VOLONIN, S. M. Analytic classification of germs of conformal mappings $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with identity linear part, *Functional Analysis*, **15** (1981), 1–17.
- [16] Yoccoz, J.-C. Théorème de Siegel, nombres de Bruno et polynômes quadratiques, *Astérisque*, 231 (1995), 3–88, Petits diviseurs en dimension 1.